



Directive

I Rob O'Sullivan, an Inspector appointed under Section 122, of the Mining and Quarrying Safety and Health Act 1999 issue a Directive to reduce risk, pursuant to Section 163 of the Mining and Quarrying Safety and Health Act 1999.

Subject: Equipment Operation	File Number:
Mine Name:	Operator:
Activity: Compliance Action	Activity Date: 17/08/2009
Record Date: 17/08/2009	MRE Item No.: 1

Title: Reduce Risk

Directive Given:

I, Robert O'Sullivan, as an Inspector of Mines and A/Deputy Chief Inspector of Mines, direct you to review your mine safety and health management system and your procedures with respect to the use and suitability of the on-highway heavy vehicles underground at your mine and to carry out risk assessments on the use of such vehicles.

The review and risk assessments must take into account s46 and Parts 10 and 11 of the Mining and Quarrying Safety and Health Regulation 2001 and must include but not be limited to such issues as:

- roadway construction including gradients, cambers and surfacing
- service and emergency vehicle braking and steering systems, including maintenance and inspection regimes to ensure that these systems are checked/inspected and maintained at appropriate intervals to suit the environment. This may require shorter intervals than the manufacturer recommends.
- adherence to manufacturers specifications for loads, gradients etc. Specifically that the GVM (gross vehicle mass) and if towing the GCM (gross combined mass) operating parameters are adhered to.
- operator training, including prestart checks and operations in emergency situations.
- operating procedures such as speed limits, gear selection.
- operating environment and loads being carried.

Notification of completion of the review and risk assessments, and the availability for inspection of all review documentation, must be provided to me by 14 September 2009.

References:

Bertoni accident

A person to whom a directive is given must comply with the directive as soon as reasonably practicable. Risk to a person resulting from a hazard at the mine must be within acceptable limits at all times.

Reasonable Time for Compliance - Due Date: 14/09/2009

Completed: **Closed by:** **on**

Action Taken by Mine to Comply with Directive:

Directive -

If an inspector or inspection officer reasonably believes a risk from operations may reach an unacceptable level, the inspector or officer may give a directive to any person to take stated corrective or preventative action to prevent the risk reaching an unacceptable level.

The directive may be given orally or by notice.

If the directive is given orally, the person giving the directive must confirm the directive by notice to the person in control of the mine or part of the mine affected by the directive and to the relevant site senior executive.

Failure to comply with subsection (3) does not affect the validity of the directive.

Method of Giving Directive -

This directive was given in writing on 17/08/2009 at 04:45 PM.

Directive Given To -

This directive is given to the operator of the mine.

Method of identification Used -

In issuing this directive I identified myself as a person appointed under Section 122 of the Mining and Quarrying Safety and Health Act 1999.

I will produce my identity card for the other person's inspection at the first reasonable opportunity (it not being practicable to produce or display the identity card at the time of exercising the power).

Part of mine affected by directive -

Whole of Mine.

A copy of the directive was given to -

A copy of this directive or notice was given to the person in charge of mine or the part of the mine affected by the directive on 17/08/2009 at 04:30:00 PM.

The person in charge of the mine or the part of the mine affected by the directive has confirmed that they were the person in charge of the mine or part of the mine affected by the directive on the day and time it was issued.

In giving this directive I believe the safety and health management system for the mine is ineffective.

The reason for my belief or suspicion is based upon the following -
Bertoni accident

Rob O'Sullivan: **Date Issued:** / /

Warning - Failure to comply with this directive is an offence. If you disagree with this directive, you may apply for a review of the directive. A summary of the review provisions is provided below.

Provisions of the Mining and Quarrying Safety and Health Act 1999 in Relation to Directives

Directives

171.(1) If an inspector, inspection officer or district workers' representative has given a directive, the inspector, officer or representative—

- (a) must enter it in the mine record as soon as reasonably practicable after giving it; and
- (b) must state the reason for the directive in the mine record.

(2) A person to whom a directive is given must comply with the directive as soon as reasonably practicable.

Maximum penalty—800 penalty units or 2 years imprisonment.

(3) The site senior executive must enter in the mine record the action taken to comply with the directive as soon as practicable after the action is taken.

Maximum penalty—40 penalty units.

(4) The site senior executive must make copies of directives available for inspection by workers.

Maximum penalty—40 penalty units.

(5) A directive remains effective until—

- (a) for a directive by a district workers' representative—it is withdrawn in writing by the representative or an inspector; or
- (b) for a directive by the chief inspector - it is withdrawn in writing by the chief inspector; or
- (c) for a directive by an inspector other than the chief inspector - it is withdrawn in writing by the inspector or another inspector; or
- (d) for a directive of an inspection officer—it is withdrawn in writing by the inspection officer or an inspector; or
- (e) for a directive by a district workers' representative, an inspection officer or an inspector and not otherwise withdrawn—the chief inspector varies or sets aside the directive after reviewing it under subdivision 4; or
- (f) the Industrial Court stays, varies or sets aside the directive.

Subdivision 4—Review of directives

Application for review

172. A person who has received a directive from an inspector (other than the chief inspector), inspection officer or district workers' representative may apply under this division for the directive to be reviewed.

Procedure for review

173.(1) The application must—

- (a) be made in writing to the chief inspector; and
- (b) be supported by enough information to allow the chief inspector to decide the application.

(2) The application must be made to the chief inspector within—

- (a) 7 days after the day on which the person received the directive; or
- (b) the longer period, within 2 months after the day, the chief inspector in special circumstances allows.

(3) The chief inspector must consider the application within 7 days after receiving it and immediately advise the applicant in writing whether the chief inspector considers the applicant has complied with subsection (1).

(4) If the chief inspector does not consider the application is supported by enough information to allow the chief

inspector to decide the application, the chief inspector must advise the applicant what further information the chief inspector requires.

(5) When the chief inspector is satisfied the applicant has complied with subsection (1), the chief inspector must immediately advise the applicant in writing of that fact.

Review of directive

174.(1) The chief inspector must, within 14 days after giving the advice mentioned in section 173(5), review the directive and make a decision (the “**review decision**”)—

(a) to confirm the directive appealed against; or

(b) to vary or set aside the directive appealed against.

(2) The chief inspector may give a directive in substitution for a directive the chief inspector decides to set aside.

(3) Within 7 days after making the review decision, the chief inspector must give notice of the decision to the applicant.

(4) The notice must—

(a) include the reasons for the review decision; and

(b) if the notice does not set aside the directive, tell the applicant of the applicant’s right of appeal against the decision.

(5) If the chief inspector does not—

(a) review the directive within the time allowed under subsection (1); or

(b) having reviewed the directive, advise the applicant of the review decision within the time allowed under subsection (3); the applicant may appeal against the directive under part 22 Part 13 (Appeals).

Stay of operation of directive

175.(1) If a person applies under this division for a directive to be reviewed, the person may immediately apply to the Industrial Court for a stay of the directive.

(2) The court may stay the directive to secure the effectiveness of the review and any later appeal to the court.

(3) A stay—

(a) may be given on conditions the court considers appropriate; and

(b) operates for the period fixed by the court; and

(c) may be revoked or amended by the court.

(4) The period of a stay must not extend past the time when the chief inspector reviews the directive and any later period the court allows the person to enable the person to appeal against the decision.

(5) An application made for a review of a directive affects the directive, or the carrying out of the directive, only if the directive is stayed.

(6) However, a directive under section 164 23 must not be stayed.

23 Section 164 (Directive to suspend operations for unacceptable level of risk).