Operational policy

Land allocation: deciding most appropriate use, tenure and management

SLM/2013/481 (Formerly PUX/901/101)

Version 5.01

Date: 01/03/2023



Approval

| Position | Name | Date |
|-----------------------------------|----------------|-----------|
| Director, Land Operations Support | Anita Haenfler | 1/07/2022 |

Version History

| Version | Date | Description/Comments |
|---------|------------|--|
| 1 | 16/04/1999 | Endorsed |
| 1.1 | 11/10/2005 | Conversion to XML template |
| 2 | 02/01/2008 | Updated to reflect Land Act amendments. Endorsed by Scott Spencer, Director-General, Department of Natural Resources and Water |
| 3 | 01/12/2010 | Updated and reviewed to include most appropriate management. Endorsed by Chris Robson, Assistant Director-General, Land and Indigenous Services, Department of Environment and Resource Management |
| 3.1 | 21/10/2012 | Minor updates to reflect departmental name change to DNRM |
| 3.2 | 07/02/2013 | Minor updates to change Delbessie to SRLLS |
| 3.3 | 13/03/2013 | Updated to change government logo |
| 3.4 | 28/06/2013 | Minor update due to LOWLA amendments |
| 3.5 | 19/08/2013 | New DNRM template |
| 4.00 | 01/08/2014 | Updated to include amendments due to LOLA 2014 |
| 4.01 | 06/07/2016 | Updated to new template |
| 4.02 | 17/03/2022 | Updated template and department name to Department of Resources |
| 5.00 | 01/07/2022 | Full review of document for currency and relevance |
| 5.01 | 01/03/2023 | Updated to include amendments due to LOLA 2022 |

This publication has been compiled by Land Operations Support, Lands Policy and Support, Lands Division, Department of Resources.

© State of Queensland, 2023

The Queensland Government supports and encourages the dissemination and exchange of its information. The copyright in this publication is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0) licence.



Under this licence you are free, without having to seek our permission, to use this publication in accordance with the licence terms. You must keep intact the copyright notice and attribute the State of Queensland as the source of the publication.

Note: Some content in this publication may have different licence terms as indicated.

For more information on this licence, visit https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

The information contained herein is subject to change without notice. The Queensland Government shall not be liable for technical or other errors or omissions contained herein. The reader/user accepts all risks and responsibility for losses, damages, costs and other consequences resulting directly or indirectly from using this information.

Interpreter statement:



The Queensland Government is committed to providing accessible services to Queenslanders from all culturally and linguistically diverse backgrounds. If you have difficulty in understanding this document, you can contact us within Australia on 13QGOV (13 74 68) and we will arrange an interpreter to effectively communicate the report to you.

Table of contents

| Operational policy1 |
|---|
| Approval2 |
| Version History2 |
| Purpose5 |
| Rationale5 |
| Legislative basis in the Land Act 19947 |
| Regulatory framework for land in Queensland7 |
| Policy8 |
| Most appropriate use |
| Most appropriate tenure10 |
| Most appropriate management |
| Responsibilities14 |
| Legislation15 |
| Related documents15 |
| Human Rights16 |
| Further information16 |
| Attachments17 |
| Attachment A – Legislative basis under the Land Act 17 |
| Attachment B – Addressing the Object of the Land Act in evaluating land |

Purpose

The purpose of this policy is to provide guidance for decision making in relation to the allocation of state land to its most appropriate tenure and use under the most appropriate management (management controls and manager) in accordance with the *Land Act 1994* (Land Act).

This policy applies to all state land dealings relevant to the allocation of unallocated State land (USL) (including the issue of a permit to occupy), renewal of an existing tenure other than a rolling term lease extension, and conversion or amendment of tenure (including revocation and changing purposes of reserves, subdivision and amalgamation of leases, and approval of additional purpose/s) and the allocation of USL for dealing with under another Act, e.g. *Nature Conservation Act 1992* (Nature Conservation Act), *Aboriginal Land Act 1991* (Aboriginal Land Act) and *Torres Strait Islander Land Act 1991* (Torres Strait Islander Act).

Note: This policy only applies to the Land Act and therefore does not apply to an authority under another Act that provides for the allocation of land, e.g. section 240 of the *Transport Infrastructure Act 1994* which provides that where land is acquired for a rail transport corridor and such land becomes unallocated state land, the land must be leased to the state.

Rationale

State land administered under the Land Act (e.g. leasehold, reserves, licences, roads and USL) is an important natural resource which the Department of Resources (the department) administers on behalf of the Queensland Government having regard to the objects of the Act – section 4. The department strives to ensure that the land is managed sustainably and that resources are conserved for the benefit of future generations. In administering state land, the department must meet the expectations of, and its obligations to the Queensland public and the government.

When allocating land for an appropriate use the department will consider retaining the land as leasehold or a reserve where it has determined that there is a need for additional oversight of the management and use of the land. This occurs where the values inherent in the land are not adequately protected by the surrounding regulatory framework. The need to retain land as a tenure under the Land Act may alter with time as land use and settlement patterns change, as community values change, and as sustainable land management knowledge and practice evolves.

Where land and its values are adequately protected by the regulatory framework freehold tenure can be applied. It is important to note, however, that registered interests to which the land may be subject (e.g. a covenant for the purpose of preserving a native animal or plant) will continue if the land is converted to freehold (unless the reason for the covenant no longer applies and the covenant is released). Accordingly, where conversion to freehold does occur (or issue of freehold of USL), covenants and other instruments can be used to protect or manage natural resources, cultural heritage, or other significant values. Interests in state land conveyed through the allocation of tenure provide certain rights, impose certain restrictions, and carry responsibilities for caring for values inherent in the land. These tenure-related rights, restrictions and responsibilities (including proprietorial controls) for non-freehold tenure are expressed in the allocated purpose, tenure and use, and by the lease conditions and any relevant management plans, agreements and covenants over the land.

State land is widely variable in relation to its values and its capacity to support particular activities or uses. Each land dealing is unique, often with competing and conflicting interests of stakeholders, as well as various tenure options. The principal outcome of land allocation is therefore the best alignment between the most appropriate use, most appropriate tenure and most appropriate management which can be achieved in each state land dealing. For example, if a parcel has values that would make it suitable to set aside as a reserve for community purposes, but a trustee is not immediately available, one option is a strategic land management reserve with the department as trustee to protect the relevant values until a suitable trustee is found for the determined (most appropriate) use of the land.

A decision to allocate tenure is a reviewable decision in terms of the *Judicial Review Act 1991* and a decision maker must be able to provide an adequate statement of reasons for a decision.

Further, allocation and other dealings under the Land Act are subject to Native Title being satisfactorily addressed - sections 7, 27 and 28 of the Land Act apply.

The state is the ultimate owner of all state land. Under the statutory authority of the Land Act, interests and rights in state land can be conveyed to individuals or organisations through the allocation of tenure.

In addition to the grant of freehold tenure over land no longer required to be retained as state land, the main tenure types under which state land can be held are leases and reserves.

Secondary tenures such as subleases, trustee leases and easements may be granted over these respective tenure types. State land may also be dedicated as road for public use over which licences and permits may be issued. State land which has not been allocated a tenure type is USL. A permit to occupy, which grants a personal non-exclusive right of occupation only may be issued over USL, reserve or road but the land remains USL, reserve or road.

Interests in state land are allocated under the Land Act for a particular purpose and/or use (e.g. lease to a sporting club, a reserve for recreation purposes) to an appropriate manager who may be an individual or company (e.g. for a lease), or a local government or community organisation (e.g. for a reserve).

On allocation of a tenure the landholder does not have unrestricted rights over the land but is subject to rights and obligations under the Land Act applying to the tenure (e.g. for a reserve - a duty of care under section 46; for a lease - conditions of lease).

USL may also be allocated under another Act e.g. the Nature Conservation Act, Aboriginal Land Act and Torres Strait Islander Act.

As previously mentioned, the most appropriate tenure and use may alter with time as land use and settlement patterns change, as community values change, and as sustainable land management knowledge and practice evolves. Land characteristics also change from parcel to parcel. Therefore, each time a land action is processed under the Land Act, the land must be evaluated to assess its most appropriate tenure, use, and management for the land, as specified under the Land Act. The exception is rolling term lease extensions.

Legislative basis in the Land Act 1994

The key sections of the Land Act which specify the requirements for assessment of the most appropriate tenure, use and management of land which is being allocated are:

- **S 4** the object of the Act provides the foundation for deciding appropriate use, tenure and management.
- **S 16** before land is allocated under the Act the land must be evaluated to assess the most appropriate tenure and use, and the evaluation must take account of State, regional and local planning strategies and policies and the object of the Act.

Under section 16 special consideration must be given to

- o commitments of, and undertakings given by, the state in relation to the land,
- the extent the land is in a priority development area take account of, and give primary consideration to, any relevant development instrument under the *Economic Development Act 2012* and
- Cape York agreement land.

Section 16 however does not apply to the grant of freehold to the state for rail land as defined under the Land Act.

- **S 159** before making a decision to offer a new lease the chief executive must consider, among other things, whether part of the lease has a more appropriate use from a land planning perspective, and also whether a new lease is the most appropriate form of tenure for the lease land.
- **S 167** before making a decision to offer to convert a lease, the chief executive must consider, among other things, whether part of the lease has a more appropriate use from a land planning perspective, and the most appropriate form of tenure for the lease land.

Refer to Attachment A for a comprehensive list of the sections of the Land Act relevant to this policy.

Regulatory framework for land in Queensland

In accordance with the powers given under the *Constitution Act 1867*, a legislative framework has been created that provides for the state to regulate the use of state land by statutory or proprietorial means of control, i.e. the oversight the state retains for the use and development of land.

Statutory means of control (that is, oversight by a provision of an Act) includes, for example:

- regulatory oversight of the use and development of all land (state land and freehold land) under legislation such as the *Planning Act 2016* (Planning Act) and *Environmental Protection Act 1994* (EP Act); and
- statutory oversight of the use of land by mandatory responsibilities and conditions applying to a trustee or lessee under the Land Act such as the duty of care provision (s 46 and s 198C and 199 respectively).

Proprietorial means of control (oversight) includes, for example:

Under the Land Act:

- the granting of a term lease that is subject to imposed conditions (conditions that may be specific to a lease and in addition to the mandatory and regulated conditions) about the care, sustainability and protection of the lease land (s 203);
- registration of a covenant aimed directly at preserving a natural or physical feature of lease land that is of cultural or scientific significance (s 373A(5)(b)(ii)).

Under the Nature Conservation Act:

• a conservation agreement (s 45); or

Under the Vegetation Management Act 1999 (VMA):

• a voluntary declaration.

Policy

The principal responsibility of the department in managing the state land portfolio, on behalf of the Queensland Government, is to provide the oversight and control of the allocation of land to the most appropriate tenure, use and management. An allocation of land under the Land Act to the most appropriate tenure should ensure that the land will be used for the most appropriate use or purpose under the most appropriate management (management controls and manager).

Prior to the allocation of land, the Land Act requires an assessment of the most appropriate tenure and use of that land.

At the broadest level such assessment must first determine the inherent values of the land, and whether any of those values require specific protection.

Most appropriate use

Before deciding on tenure, the assessment officer must undertake an evaluation of the land to assess its most appropriate use. The most appropriate use assessment should be regarded as the foundation which underpins the tenure decision-making process. As indicated, the land evaluation must take account of state, regional and local planning strategies and policies, and the object of the Act.

The assessment officer may rely on expert advice in undertaking a land evaluation. Interested parties (e.g. state government agencies, including business units within the department) can be seen to be giving expert advice on legislative requirements or other issues under their jurisdiction or within their expertise. For example, in relation to fauna issues, the agency responsible for the administration of the relevant nature conservation legislation is recognised as holding the expertise; and for Aboriginal and Torres Strait islander interests the department's Native Title and Indigenous Land Services for providing advice.

The use of state land does not necessarily for example imply developmental or agricultural use but can also mean conservation of the land in its natural state.

Refer to Attachment B for information on how the object of the Land Act is to be taken into account in the allocation of state land.

An assessment of the most appropriate use of the land should give due consideration to:

- attributes of the land
- views and rights of any interested parties; and
- government policy.

Attributes of the land include the economic, environmental and social values of the land, and opportunities and constraints based upon land capacity (capabilities) and condition. This will require an assessment of (among other things) natural resources, environmental values, culturally valuable and sensitive areas and features, biodiversity, community needs, and the location of the land with respect to existing and future needs for public infrastructure and services.

Views and rights of interested parties – this may require a consideration of interest holders' views (including lessees, native title holders, secondary interest holders such as a grantee of an easement, holders of rights and interests under the *Mineral Resources Act 1989*) and consideration of the views of interested parties such as state and local government agencies and, at times, conservation groups, community groups and adjoining property owners or managers. At a minimum the consultation process will include requesting views of local government, other relevant government agencies and assessing the status of native title and indigenous cultural heritage over the land.

Strategies and policies e.g. regional plans and local government planning schemes need to be checked as expert advice will be largely relied upon to provide information – for example, the relevant local government agency will provide advice on whether a proposed use is consistent with its planning scheme and policies.

Land evaluation information, upon which the most appropriate use decision will be based, will be accessed from a range of sources, including planning strategies and policies, views of interested parties, departmental databases, government laws and policies, previous planning studies, any government commitments for the land, and from a physical inspection of the subject land.

Appropriate use of land

Community use - is the most appropriate where the land is found to have significant inherent values that warrant specific protection for and/or access by current and future generations. The factors which justify the retention and dedication of land to community use will normally reflect the intrinsic value and benefit that those parcels of land bring to the community. Community use values include, for example: the protection of unique environmental, historical or cultural attributes; the community need for open space or recreational areas; and the practical and aesthetic benefits which public parkland brings to the community.

Private use - examples where private use is the most appropriate are when there are

- 1. no significant inherent community use values in the land, for example cleared land that is located within an industrial area; or
- community values that may not warrant protection under a community purpose but may require protection by proprietorial means of control; for example:
 -the issue of freehold (conversion) for a tourist development with a public thoroughfare

easement over part providing continued public access, or

- the issue of freehold for development where the environmental values will be adequately protected under the existing planning scheme and or via statutory oversight such as the Planning Act.

Most appropriate tenure

After the most appropriate use for the land is determined, the specific oversight that the state wishes to retain will need to be decided to ensure that the tenure type which will achieve and maintain the use is allocated. Tenure here generally refers to any mode of holding land under the Land Act.

However, where it is decided that the most appropriate use of the land would be best achieved by the issue of tenure to Aboriginal people or Torres Strait Islanders particularly concerned with the land, then in this instance consideration should be given to the issue of a deed under the Aboriginal Land Act or the Torres Strait Islander Land Act.

The ability of a person to pay for the land is not a factor in determining appropriate tenure.

A **reserve** is usually the most appropriate tenure where there is an overriding community interest and the land is required to be retained for present and future generations and managed for a community purpose (as defined in Schedule 1 of the Land Act).

A reserve for a community purpose is appropriate where the land value is high because of its natural resources or its environmental, recreational, historical, social or cultural significance, or because the land possesses some special value such as a strategic location, or meets a particular local community need.

If the land has values of local significance, those interests may best be protected by allocating the land as a reserve under the Land Act. However alternative tenures may be considered if the values will be adequately protected via statutory oversight such as the Planning Act or through instruments such as covenants.

Note: If the land has values of state or regional conservation or environmental significance, those interests would usually be protected by making the USL available for a protected area (such as a national park) under the Nature Conservation Act. Similarly, if the land has a particular indigenous cultural significance consideration could be given to allocating the land under the Aboriginal Land Act or Torres Strait Islander Land Act.

However, where a most appropriate manager cannot be found (see section on Most Appropriate Manager hereafter), one option may be the issue of a permit to occupy over the land for a low impact activity (e.g. grazing) until such time as a suitable manager can be found, provided there is minimum or no risk of detrimentally affecting the values requiring protection. Given that a permit to occupy does not change the USL status, it can be viewed as a short term 'holding tenure'.

For any application for a secondary interest over a reserve (e.g. trustee lease, easement), a land evaluation for the secondary interest must ensure that:

 the community purpose for which the reserve was dedicated or the land was granted in trust is not diminished by granting inappropriate interests over the reserve or land granted in trust - section 30(c) of the Land Act; and

- 2. a state lease over a reserve would be consistent with the purpose for which the land was reserved, or would facilitate or enhance the purpose for which the land was reserved; or if inconsistent, (amongst other things) the state lease would not diminish the purpose of the reserve section 32 of the Land Act; and
- 3. a trustee lease or trustee lease sublease would be consistent with the purpose for which the land was reserved or granted in trust and would facilitate or enhance the purpose for which the land was reserved or granted in trust; or if inconsistent, (amongst other things) the trustee lease or trustee lease sublease would not diminish the purpose of the reserve or land granted in trust section 59 of the Land Act (Note: does not apply to a construction trustee lease).

Further, under section 52 of the Land Act, the Minister may approve an action by the trustee that is inconsistent (inconsistent action) with the purpose for which the reserve was dedicated or the land was granted in trust if (amongst other things) the Minister is reasonably satisfied the inconsistent action will not diminish the purpose for which the reserve was dedicated or the land was granted in trust. The inconsistent action must also not adversely affect any business in the area surrounding the reserve or land granted in trust.

A trustee permit may be inconsistent with the purpose of the trust land if an approved land management plan identifies the potential impacts of the permit and states how the permit does not diminish the purpose of the trust land.

Permit to occupy can be issued over USL, reserve or road, and gives a personal, non-exclusive right of occupation only. Importantly the issue of a permit to occupy does not change the underlying tenure of the land, which remains as USL, reserve or road. A permit to occupy cannot be transferred and should only be considered for low impact, short term uses such as grazing of state land that may be required for a future public (including community) purpose. A permit is generally not appropriate for structural improvements. As discussed above, a permit to occupy can also be used as a holding/transitional tenure while long term tenure and manager issues are being resolved.

A permit to occupy may only issue over one of these lands e.g. if a permit to occupy was being considered over USL and a road, two separate permits to occupy must issue, one over the USL and another over the road – section 177(7) of the Land Act.

Leasehold may be the most appropriate tenure where the state needs to retain oversight of the use of the land because:

- specific legislation does not allow the issue of freehold, e.g. land seaward of a tidal boundary, other than land that has been reclaimed under section 127 of the Land Act (section 14(3) of the Land Act); or
- there is specific government policy to retain oversight of land by the state, e.g. on islands (see guideline – <u>Land Allocation and specific requirements (SLM/2018/4386=PUX/901/315)</u>) or where it is desirable in the public interest to place some condition or requirement upon a volumetric title (see operational policy – <u>Land Allocation: Granting Land Volumetrically,</u> (<u>SLM/2013/492</u>)); or

- environmental values or natural features and/or resources on or associated with the site require management which cannot be adequately provided via existing regulatory mechanisms (e.g. Planning Act or EP Act); or
- the state wishes the development on the land to proceed in a certain and timely manner; or
- the most appropriate tenure and use has not yet been finally determined, or a suitable long term manager is not yet available, and a short term, interim tenure provides a temporary management arrangement.

Note:

A lease for grazing is not an appropriate tenure for USL for

- long term grazing; in this instance freehold is considered the most appropriate tenure; or where freehold is not supported, a permit to occupy is only to issue; or
- short term grazing; in this instance a permit to occupy only is to issue.

For other primary production uses freehold is considered the most appropriate tenure.

If freehold is not able to issue for example freehold is not supported in the area, a condition of the lease is to be included that conversion of the lease is not allowed.

Perpetual Lease – under s 15(3) of the Land Act, allocation of USL as a perpetual lease may occur only if required by another Act, or where the Land Act allows for the issue of a perpetual lease (s 17(2) or for an additional area under s 132), or where it is considered to be in the interest of the state (e.g. for strategic port land) - therefore, the issue of a perpetual lease for allocation of an area of USL to a person other than the state (or in some instances to a statutory body) is not otherwise supported by the Land Act.

Freehold (issue of a deed of grant) is the most appropriate tenure where the state does not need to retain oversight of the way the land parcel is used (other than the regulatory oversight to which all land is subject). Freehold is appropriate particularly where freehold is the predominant tenure in the area and land is not required for a community purpose.

Further, freehold may be the most appropriate tenure where it is decided through a renewal action that the state has no further requirement for oversight other than a regulatory requirement (e.g. a lease for residential or industrial purposes in an urban area may no longer be best held as leasehold). In this case conversion to freehold would be appropriate. Freehold may also be suitable where the most appropriate use of the land can be ensured through non-tenure related instruments (e.g. planning schemes, covenants, or agreements).

Where values exist that will not limit the intended use, but conversion under the Land Act to freehold will remove regulatory oversight of those values, a covenant or similar may be appropriate to allow the conversion to proceed while ensuring those values are protected and maintained. For example, there are more stringent requirements for clearing of concern regulated regrowth vegetation on existing agriculture and grazing leasehold land than on some freehold land. This vegetation can be protected by a covenant or voluntary declaration before the land is converted to freehold. The department's officers administering the VMA are able to provide the most appropriate option for protecting vegetation under that Act

Where a covenant or similar to protect existing values in the land is not possible, the land may not be suitable for conversion to freehold.

A deed may also issue under the Aboriginal Land Act or the Torres Strait Islander Land Act if it is decided that the most appropriate use of the land would be best achieved by the issue of tenure to Aboriginal people or Torres Strait Islanders particularly concerned with the land.

Most appropriate management

The term 'most appropriate management' refers to the most appropriate manager (e.g. trustee, lessee, permittee, etc.) and the most appropriate management controls or proprietorial controls over a reserve, lease or permit.

Most appropriate manager

The object of the Land Act includes the provision that when state land is allocated it is allocated to persons who will facilitate its most appropriate use. Such uses must accord with any tenure and use restrictions, and agreements and applicable regulatory controls.

The most appropriate manager is a person who is willing and able to be accountable for complying with the purpose of the tenure, conditions and statutory responsibilities, any land management agreements, covenants etc and is capable of fulfilling their financial responsibilities (e.g. rent payments and/or any required performance bond).

Under s 420E of the Land Act, the chief executive may ask an applicant to provide stated information in support of an application or a statutory declaration verifying any information included in the application. Under this section, a reasonable request may be made of the applicant to provide evidence of their capacity to effectively manage the land or respond to an issue.

The use and development of state land held under reserve and lease tenure, including use and development by secondary interest holders (e.g. trustee leases over reserves), is the responsibility of appointed managers who are accountable for managing the subject land.

Reserve

The most appropriate trustees of community use reserves are preferably enduring organisations such as statutory bodies, (e.g. local government or the state) that have some particular association or expertise with the reserve land and its purpose or with the local community (s 30 of the Land Act). It is highly desirable other trustees be incorporated bodies.

The trustee of a Land Act reserve is recognised as the most appropriate manager for that reserve. Therefore, when secondary use occurs on reserves, the secondary use should be directly managed by the land manager (trustee) and not the department, except where there are overriding state interest considerations.

Lease

Before the land is allocated as a lease, the appointed manager (lessee) must agree to undertake certain activities with respect to the lease land. The lessee is obligated to manage the land in accordance with the rights, restrictions and responsibilities imposed by the tenure and purpose, lease conditions, and any other management plans, agreements, including a land management

agreement for certain rural leasehold land, or covenants over the land, including the statutory duty of care.

The above equally applies to permits to occupy and road licences as appropriate.

Section 129 of the Land Act requires that for an application for a lease for a significant development, an independent assessment of the applicant's financial and managerial capabilities must be undertaken.

Most appropriate management control

Management controls may apply to both freehold and state land.

To protect values on leasehold land, agreements with lessees on how these values will be protected are incorporated into leases and impose rights, restrictions and responsibilities on the lessee.

The purpose for which a leasehold tenure is issued is the primary tool for controlling the use of that parcel of state land.

Conditions under the Land Act are another means of controlling how a lessee uses the land.

- Mandatory conditions (s 198C) such as duty of care (s 199) are a statutory imposition on the use of the land.
- Imposed conditions (s 202A) are a proprietorial means of control of the use of a particular parcel of lease, licence or permit land.
- Imposed conditions (s 203) relating to the use, care, sustainability and/or protection of the subject land compels its protection by the lessee.
- Regulated conditions are also statutory conditions that apply to leases, licences and permits.

Management plans (s 48) for trust land, specifying how the land should be used and managed, can be a requirement under the Land Act. Approved management plans for trust land are an agreement on how the values of the trust land will be protected by the trustee.

Land management agreements (s 176U) for certain rural leasehold land, specifying how the land should be used and managed, can be a requirement under the Land Act. A land management agreement is a written agreement made by the Minister with the lessee about the use and management of that rural leasehold land.

A **covenant** (s 373A Land Act) is a voluntary agreement entered into by the parties. A covenant can relate to the use of the land, or part of the land or buildings, or can be aimed at conserving biodiversity, or a significant physical or cultural feature of the land. On registration, a covenant complying with the legislation attaches to the land and binds the owner and all successors in title until it is released. The covenant can remain even if the tenure is converted from leasehold to freehold. Other conservation agreements include the voluntary declaration process under the VMA, which provides a framework for landholders voluntarily seeking to protect native vegetation on their land.

Responsibilities

Officers with the appropriate delegations under the Land Act for evaluating land to assess the most appropriate tenure and use of land administered under that Act.

Legislation

Aboriginal Land Act 1991Constitution Act 1867Economic Development Act 2012Environmental Protection Act 1994Judicial Review Act 1991Land Act 1994Mineral Resources Act 1989Native Title Act 1993 (Cwlth)Native Title (Queensland) Act 1993Planning Act 2016Torres Strait Island Land Act 1994Vegetation Management Act 1999

Related documents

Operational policy - Creation of Trust Land (SLM/2013/479 = PUX/901/207) Operational policy - Secondary Use of Trust Land under the Land Act (SLM/2013/493 = PUX/901/209) Operational policy - Leases over Reserves (SLM/2013/495 = PUX/901/210) Guideline - Roads under the Land Act 1994 (SLM/2013/725 = PUX/952/122) Guideline - Land allocation and specific requirements (SLM/2018/4386 = PUX/901/315) Operational policy - Allocation of Land in Priority in Terms of the Land Act 1994 (SLM/2013/499 = PUX/901/316) Operational policy - Eligibility to hold land (SLM/2013/584 = PUX/952/120) Operational policy - Additional purpose of a lease (SLM/2013/425 = PUX/901/333) Operational policy - Conversion of leasehold tenure (SLM/2013/397 = PUX/952/121) Operational policy - Lease renewal (SLM/2013/423 = PUX/901/335) Guideline - Diversification of leases for agricultural purposes (SLM/2073/3856 = PUX/901/337) Operational policy – Diversification of leases for agricultural purposes (SLM/2013/575 = PUX/901/336) Guideline - Permit to occupy (SLM/2013/482 = PUX/952/118) Operational policy - Lease Subdivision (SLM/2013/428 = PUX/901/528)

Operational policy - Land Allocation: Granting Land Volumetrically (SLM/2013/492 = PUX/901/100)

Human Rights

The department is committed to respecting, protecting and promoting human rights. Under the *Human Rights Act 2019*, the department has an obligation to act and make decisions in a way that is compatible with human rights and, when making a decision, to give proper consideration to human rights. To the extent an act or decision under this document may engage human rights under the *Human Rights Act 2019*, regard will be had to that Act in undertaking the act or making the decision.

Further information

- Contact your nearest business centre (<u>https://www.resources.qld.gov.au/?contact=state_land</u>), or
- Refer to https://www.qld.gov.au/environment/land/state, or
- Call 13 QGOV (13 74 68).

Attachments

Attachment A – Legislative basis under the Land Act

Note: The following is a summary only of the primary provisions of the Land Act – reference **MUST** be made to the specific sections in the current version of the Land Act.

Most Appropriate Tenure and Use

S 4 Object of the Act - state land must be managed for the benefit of the people of Queensland having regard to the following principles:

- **sustainability** sustainable resource use and development to ensure existing needs are met and the state's resources are conserved for the benefit of future generations
- evaluation based on the appraisal of land capability
- **development** allocating land in the context of the state's planning framework, and when land is made available, allocation to persons who will facilitate its most appropriate use
- **community purpose** if the land is needed for community purposes, the retention of the land for the community in a way that protects and facilitates the purpose
- protection of environmentally and culturally valuable and sensitive areas and features
- **consultation** consultation with community groups, industry associations and authorities is an important part of the decision-making process
- **administration** consistent and impartial; efficient, open and accountable; a market approach to land dealings, adjusted when appropriate for community benefits arising from the dealing.

S 16 Deciding appropriate tenure - before land is allocated under the Act (amongst other things) the land must be evaluated to assess the most appropriate tenure and use for the land, and the evaluation must take account of state, regional and local planning strategies and policies and the object of the Act.

S 30(c) Object of Chapter 3 Part 1 Reserves and deeds of grant in trust - ensure that the community purpose for which the reserve was dedicated or the land was granted in trust is not diminished by granting inappropriate interests over the reserve or land granted in trust

S 32 State leases over reserves - the issue of a state lease over a reserve would be consistent with the purpose for which the land was reserved; or would facilitate or enhance the purpose for which the land was reserved; or if inconsistent, (amongst other things) the state lease would not diminish the purpose of the reserve.

S 52(3) General powers of trustee - the Minister may approve action that is inconsistent (inconsistent action) with the purpose for which the reserve was dedicated or the land was granted in trust if (amongst other things) the Minister is reasonably satisfied the inconsistent action will not diminish the purpose for which the reserve was dedicated or the land was granted in trust

S 59 Basis of approval - the trustee lease, mortgage of a trustee lease, or trustee lease sublease would be consistent with the purpose for which the land was reserved or granted in trust, and would facilitate or enhance the purpose for which the land was reserved or granted in trust; or if

inconsistent, (amongst other things) the trustee lease or trustee lease sublease would not diminish the purpose of the reserve or land granted in trust.

S 101 Minister to consider objections - refusal of road closure on the grounds that the road is still needed

S 121 Leases of unallocated state land - a lease of unallocated state land may be granted without competition if, among other things, the Minister decided the intended use is the most appropriate use of the land.

S 159 Deciding whether to offer new lease - before making a decision to offer a new lease the chief executive must consider, (among other things), (1)(h) whether part of the lease has a more appropriate use from a land planning perspective, and (1)(k) whether a new lease is the most appropriate form of tenure for the lease land.

S165B **Deciding to make an offer to convert lease (without application)** – before making a decision to offer to convert a lease, the chief executive must evaluate the lease land to assess the most appropriate tenure for the land (section 16 of the Land Act applies).

S167 Provisions for deciding application - before making a decision to offer to convert a lease, the chief executive must consider, (among other things), (1)(h) whether part of the lease has a more appropriate use from a land planning perspective, and (1)(k) the most appropriate form of tenure for the lease land.

Ss 176B and 176M Criteria for deciding application - consideration is required whether a proposed subdivision or amalgamation is appropriate, taking into account state, regional and local planning strategies and the object of the Act - (these sections do not necessarily require an assessment of the most appropriate tenure but only whether the subdivision or amalgamation is appropriate but the matters mentioned in section 159(1) must be considered to the extent they are relevant to the proposed subdivision or amalgamation and the term of any new lease/s to be offered).

S 420E Request to applicant about application - (1) The chief executive may, by written notice, ask an applicant to - (b) give the chief executive - (i) stated information in support of the application; or (ii) a statutory declaration verifying any information included in the application or any additional information required under subparagraph (i).

S 420G Particular criteria generally not exhaustive - (1) This section applies if another provision of the Act permits or requires a person, who may or must decide an application, to consider particular criteria in making the decision. (2) To remove any doubt, it is declared that the person may, in making the decision, consider any other criteria the person considers relevant. Note: subsection (2) does not apply if the provision otherwise provides

Most appropriate Manager and Management controls

S 4 Object of the Act - as outlined in the preceding section Most Appropriate Tenure and Use.

S 30 Object of Chapter 3 Part 1 Reserves and deeds of grant in trust - ensure that reserves and land granted in trust are properly and effectively managed by persons (the trustees) who have some particular association or expertise with the reserve or land and its purpose, or with the local community, including under any approved management plan.

The basis for the department requiring a management plan for trust land is specified in:

• S 44 - The Minister may appoint a trustee of trust land subject to conditions.

Note: s 44 also specifies who may be appointed as a trustee.

• **S 48** -The trustee for trust land must, if asked by the Minister, apply for the approval of a management plan for the trust land.

S 115 Conditions of sale - conditions applying to sale by public auction include that the buyer must be eligible to hold the interest under this Act and meet all other restrictions stated in the sale notice.

S 123 Priority criteria - priority criteria that describe who has priority in obtaining an interest in state land.

S 129 Lease for significant development - for an application for a lease for a significant development, an independent assessment of the applicant's financial and managerial capabilities must be undertaken.

S 130 Transfer of lease for significant development - for an application to transfer a lease for a significant development, an independent assessment of the applicant's financial and managerial capabilities may be undertaken. If an assessment is undertaken, the lease cannot be transferred unless the Minister is satisfied about the transferee's financial and managerial capabilities.

Ss 142 and 143 Restrictions on eligibility to hold land - a person is eligible to apply for, buy or hold land under this Act only if the person is an adult. An officer of the department is not eligible to acquire land under this Part (of the Land Act) without the Minister's written approval.

S 159 Deciding whether to offer new lease - lease renewal criteria which state that the interests of the existing lessee must be considered before making a decision to offer a new lease. It also states that existing degradation and whether lease conditions have been complied with are relevant considerations.

S165B **Deciding to make an offer to convert lease (without application)** – before making a decision to offer to convert a lease, the chief executive must evaluate the lease land to assess the most appropriate tenure for the land (section 16 of the Land Act applies).

S 167 Provisions for deciding application - lease conversion criteria which state that existing degradation, and whether lease conditions have been complied with, are relevant considerations.

S 199 Duty of care condition - all leases, licences and permits are subject to the condition that the lessee, licensee or permittee has the responsibility for a duty of care for the land. If an existing lease is issued for agricultural, grazing or pastoral purposes, the lessee's duty of care includes that the lessee must take all reasonable steps to conserve and protect the values of the land.

S 199A Land may be used only for tenure's purpose - lease land, licence land or permit land may be used only for the purpose for which the lease, licence or permit was issued (subject to s 154 for lease land only).

S 199B Conditions relating to buildings and other structures - a lessee or permittee must keep all buildings and other structures on the lease land or permit land in a good and substantial state of repair; and must not erect on the lease land or permit land a building or other structure that is not

consistent with the original purpose of the lease or any changed purpose under s154; or the purpose of the permit.

S 200 Noxious plants condition - all leases, licences and permits are subject to the condition that the lessee, licensee or permittee must keep noxious plants on the land under control.

S 213 Obligation to perform conditions - a lessee, licensee or permittee must perform all of the conditions of the tenure to the satisfaction of the designated officer.

S 214 Minister's power to give remedial action notice - the Minister may give a lessee or licensee a written notice (a remedial action notice) to take stated remedial action.

S 420E Request to applicant about application - (1) The chief executive may, by written notice, ask an applicant to - (b) give the chief executive - (i) stated information, in support of the application; or (ii) a statutory declaration verifying any information included in the application or any additional information required under subparagraph (i).

S 420G Particular criteria generally not exhaustive - (1) This section applies if another provision of this Act permits or requires a person who may or must decide an application to consider particular criteria in making the decision. (2) To remove any doubt, it is declared that the person may, in making the decision, consider any other criteria the person considers relevant. Note: subsection (2) does not apply if the provision otherwise provides.

Attachment B – Addressing the Object of the Land Act in evaluating land

Section 16 requires that the object of the Act (section 4) be taken into account when evaluating the land to determine its most appropriate tenure and use. Section 4 sets out the object of the Act, which is to manage land in accordance with the Act for the benefit of the people of Queensland, having regard to a set of defined principles described under the broad headings: sustainability, evaluation, development, community purpose, protection, consultation and administration. The object and principles of the Act set a framework for decision making under the Act.

Sustainability

• sustainable resource use and development to ensure existing needs are met and the state's resources are conserved for the benefit of future generations

This principle refers to the concept of protecting and preserving state land as a natural resource so that existing needs are satisfied, as well as ensuring that it may be used and enjoyed by future generations for identified purposes. This principle recognises that land is an important but fragile resource which needs to be managed correctly to ensure it is conserved for the benefit of future generations. The term sustainability places emphasis on a need to respect processes at work in an ecosystem.

Sustainability involves the consideration and application of proper land management practices by all parties throughout all stages of any dealing with state land, because those dealings have the potential to have significant and often permanent impacts on the social, environmental and economic capacity of the state.

Evaluation

 land evaluation based on the appraisal of land capability and the consideration and balancing of the different economic, environmental, cultural and social opportunities and values of the land

This principle requires that decisions about the allocation of state land must be based on a consideration of the values of the land that need to be protected, and the capacity of the land to sustainably support contemplated uses. This principle brings together the considerations under the other six principles of the object of the Act in the form of a land evaluation which assesses the environmental, cultural and social values, and any limiting factors relevant to the land parcel.

Land evaluation can require input from land planners, regional cultural heritage coordinators and appropriate stakeholders and technical experts. The information gathered from these sources should be considered in conjunction with other relevant information about the land and its capacity.

Development

- allocating land for development in the context of the state's planning framework, and applying contemporary best practice in design and land management
- when land is made available, allocation to persons who will facilitate its most appropriate use that supports the economic, social and physical wellbeing of the people of Queensland

This principle supports the requirement of s 16 to take account of state, regional and local planning strategies and policies in evaluating the land. In administering state land, relevant strategies and policies (e.g. regional plans and local government planning schemes) need to be checked to determine whether the proposed use is inconsistent with a use under the applicable strategies and policies. The development principle also emphasises the commitment of the Act to contemporary best practice in planning design and land management.

The development principle also recognises that when an interest in land is made available under the Act it should be made available to persons who will use the land in a manner which is consistent with the capacity of the land to support a use which is the 'most appropriate' for the land. In other words, when land is allocated, it is expected that the person is capable of, and agreeable to, managing it in accordance with the rights, restrictions and responsibilities relating to the tenure.

Community purpose

• if land is needed for community purposes, the retention of the land for the community in a way that protects and facilitates the community purpose

The community purpose principle recognises that land is often needed for community purposes (defined in Schedule 1). It is appropriate that such land be retained by the state and/or placed under the management of responsible persons (known as trustees) as reserve land. The high community values that will warrant protection of the resource for community use will include unique environmental, historical or cultural attributes (including indigenous cultural heritage), open space and recreational areas, or special strategic or locational value. Public access is an important and desired requirement of some community purpose land (e.g. parks, public boat ramps, sport, etc.). Alternatively, public access may be restricted over community reserves with particular values (e.g. beach protection, buffer, environmental, etc.).

Protection

protection of environmentally and culturally valuable and sensitive areas and features

As the agency administering the Land Act, the department is well placed to take proactive measures to identify and protect areas of state land which possess the features stated in this principle. In these cases the department can dedicate identified areas for community purposes including: environmental, open space and buffer zones, scenic, scientific, beach protection, historical and heritage (including indigenous cultural heritage).

The department can also protect areas defined in this principle through proprietorial controls under the Land Act such as covenants, management plans, land management agreements, and lease conditions.

Where the preservation of significant environmental values is desirable, the department may make land available for allocation under the Nature Conservation Act.

Consultation

• consultation with community groups, industry associations and authorities is an important part of the decision-making process

As assessment of the most appropriate tenure and use of state land relies on evaluation of the attributes of the land, the views of relevant stakeholders, and relevant plans and strategies, it is

necessary that the assessing officer obtain information from the relevant parties and authorities to enable an effective decision to be made.

Administration

- consistent and impartial dealings
- efficient, open and accountable administration
- a market approach to land dealings, adjusted when appropriate for community benefits arising from the dealing

This principle recognises that for the integrity of the land allocation and land management systems under the Land Act to be sustained, dealings in land must be carried out in a consistent and impartial manner. The practical application of this principle requires that departmental officers who exercise decision-making responsibilities in relation to state land dealings must comply with the following points:

- all state land dealings are to be based on relevant legislation and regard given to policies,
- decisions are to be consistent, justifiable and based on sound evaluation of information collected through consultation and feedback,
- all decisions (and reasons) are to be fully documented,
- decision making must be timely, undertaken with impartiality and equitable treatment of all stakeholders involved,
- natural justice must underpin departmental decision making where those decision affect the interests of persons or corporations, and
- to achieve efficient and effective land dealings, assessment officers must make maximum use of all corporate systems and resources.

In making interests in land available, a market approach is adopted so that the state may receive a fair return on its assets, and parties competing for interests are dealt with equally. The principle recognises, however that dealings in land should be made available without competition particularly to government agencies where it is clear there is some community benefit in making the interest available in that manner.